3. National Conditions

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

Confirmation of Nation Conditions			Checklist
		If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met in 2020-	Complete:
National Condition	Confirmation	21:	complete.
1) A Plan has been agreed for the Health and Wellbeing	Yes		
Board area that includes all mandatory funding and this			
is included in a pooled fund governed under section 75 of			Yes
the NHS Act 2006?			res
(This should include engagement with district councils on			
use of Disabled Facilities Grant in two tier areas)			
2) Planned contribution to social care from the CCG	Yes		
minimum contribution is agreed in line with the BCF			Yes
policy?			
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of	Yes		Yes
hospital services?			res
4) The CCG and LA have confirmed compliance with these	Yes		Yes
conditions to the HWB?			res

4. Income

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:	Nottingham
Local Authority Contribution	
	Gross
Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG)	Contribution
Nottingham	£2,768,450
DFG breakerdown for two-tier areas only (where applic	cable)
Total Minimum LA Contribution (exc iBCF)	£2,768,450

iBCF Contribution	Contribution
Nottingham	£16,114,638
Total iBCF Contribution	£16,114,638

Are any additional LA Contributions being made in 2020-21? If yes, please detail below No

Local Authority Additional Contribution		Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific uses or sources of funding
Total Additional Local Authority Contribution	£0	

CCG Minimum Contribution	Contribution
NHS Nottingham City CCG	£24,733,973
Total Minimum CCG Contribution	£24,733,973

Are any additional CCG Contributions being made in 2020-21? If yes, please detail below

No

Additional CCG Contribution		Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific uses or sources of funding. If you are including funding made available to support the Hospital Discharge Service Policy in 2020-21, you should record this here
Total Additional CCG Contribution	£0	
Total CCG Contribution	£24,733,973	

	2020-21
Total BCF Pooled Budget	£43,617,061

Funding Contributions Comments	
Optional for any useful detail e.g. Carry over	

5. Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

Running Balances	Income	Expenditure	Balance
DFG	£2,768,450	£2,768,450	£0
Minimum CCG Contribution	£24,733,973	£24,733,973	£0
iBCF	£16,114,638	£16,114,638	£0
Additional LA Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Additional CCG Contribution	£0	£0	£0
Total	£43,617,061	£43,617,061	£0

Required Spend	Minimum Required Spend	Planned Spend	Under Spend
NHS Commissioned Out of Hospital spend from the minimum CCG allocation	£7,028,694	£10,359,459	£0
Adult Social Care services spend from the minimum CCG allocations	£13,414,463	£13,414,463	£0

Checklist												
Complete:												
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Link to Scheme	Type description		Expenditure								
Scheme ID	Scheme Name	Scheme Type	Sub Types	Please specify if 'Scheme Type' is 'Other'	Area of Spend	Please specify if 'Area of Spend' is 'other'	Commissioner	% NHS (if Joint Commissioner)	% LA (if Joint Commissioner)		Source of Funding	Expenditure (£)	New/ Existing Scheme
1	Access & Navigation	Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	Care Coordination		Community Health		ССС			NHS Community Provider	Minimum CCG Contribution	£925,369	Existing
2	Access & Navigation	Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	Single Point of Access		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£1,054,295	Existing
3	Integrated Care	Intermediate Care Services	Other	Includes all subtypes	Community Health		ССС			NHS Community Provider	Minimum CCG Contribution	£6,126,266	Existing
4	Integrated Care	Intermediate Care Services	Other	Homecare packages plus integrated care	Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£6,817,062	Existing
5	Integrated Care	Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	Care Planning, Assessment and Review		Community Health		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£424,133	Existing
6	Integrated Care	Intermediate Care Services	Reablement/Reha bilitation Services		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG Contribution	£2,965,924	Existing
7	Primary Care	Prevention / Early Intervention	Other	Physical Health & Wellbeing	Primary Care		ССС			NHS Community Provider	Minimum CCG Contribution	£2,553,693	Existing

8	Facilitating	HICM for	Chg 3. Multi-		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£749,183	Existing
Ũ	Discharge		Disciplinary/Multi-							Local Authority	Contribution	2745,105	Existing
		of Care	Agency Discharge										
9	Facilitating	Integrated Care	Care Planning,		Social Care		LA			Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£1,827,999	Existing
	Discharge	Planning and	Assessment and							,	Contribution		
		Navigation	Review										
10	Programme	Enablers for	Integrated		Other	Programme	CCG			CCG	Minimum CCG	£26,613	Existing
	Management	Integration	workforce			Mangement					Contribution		
	Ŭ					U U							
11	Assitive	Assistive	Other	Telecare,	Community		Joint	46.0%	54.0%	Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£334,400	Existing
	Technology	Technologies and		Telehealth &	Health						Contribution		-
		Equipment		Integrated jointly									
12	Assitive	Assistive	Other	Dispersed Alarm	Community		Joint	46.0%	54.0%	Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£115,900	Existing
	Technology	Technologies and		Service	Health						Contribution		
		Equipment											
13	Assitive	Assistive	Community Based		Community		CCG			Private Sector	Minimum CCG	£17,922	Existing
	Technology	Technologies and	Equipment		Health						Contribution		-
		Equipment											
14	Carers	Carers Services	Other	Carers Advice	Community		Joint	59.0%	41.0%	Private Sector	Minimum CCG	£714,040	Existing
				and Support &	Health						Contribution		
				Respite Service									
15	Housing Health	Housing Related			Community		CCG			Local Authority	Minimum CCG	£81,174	Existing
		Schemes			Health						Contribution		
16	Disabled Facilities	DFG Related	Other	Adaptations,	Social Care		LA			Local Authority	DFG	£2,768,450	Existing
	Grant	Schemes		community									
				equipment &									
17	Improved Better	Other		Stabilise care	Social Care		LA			Local Authority	iBCF	£16,114,638	Existing
	Care Fund			provider market,									
				social care									
-													

<u>^^ Link back up</u>		
Scheme Type	Description	
Assistive Technologies and Equipment	Using technology in care processes to supportive self- management, maintenance of independence and more efficient and effective delivery of care. (eg. Telecare, Wellness services, Digital participation services).	
Care Act Implementation Related Duties	Funding planned towards the implementation of Care Act related duties.	
Carers Services	Supporting people to sustain their role as carers and reduce the likelihood of crisis. Advice, advocacy, information, assessment, emotional and physical support, training, access to services to support wellbeing and improve independence. This also includes the implementation of the Care Act as a sub-type.	
Community Based Schemes	Schemes that are based in the community and constitute a range of cross sector practitioners delivering collaborative services in the community typically at a neighbourhood level (eg: Integrated Neighbourhood Teams)	
DFG Related Schemes	The DFG is a means-tested capital grant to help meet the costs of adapting a property; supporting people to stay independent in their own homes.	

Enablers for Integration	Schemes that build and develop the enabling foundations of health and social care integration encompassing a wide range of potential areas including technology, workforce, market development (Voluntary Sector Business Development: Funding the business development and preparedness of local voluntary sector into provider Alliances/ Collaboratives) and programme management related schemes. Joint commissioning infrastructure includes any personnel or teams that enable joint commissioning. Schemes could be focused on Data Integration, System IT Interoperability, Programme management, Research and evaluation, Supporting the Care Market, Workforce development, Community asset mapping, New governance arrangements, Voluntary Sector Development, Employment services, Joint commissioning infrastructure amongst others.	
High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of Care	The eight changes or approaches identified as having a high impact on supporting timely and effective discharge through joint working across the social and health system. The Hospital to Home Transfer Protocol or the 'Red Bag' scheme, while not in the HICM as such, is included in this section.	
Home Care or Domiciliary Care	A range of services that aim to help people live in their own homes through the provision of domiciliary care including personal care, domestic tasks, shopping, home maintenance and social activities. Home care can link with other services in the community, such as supported housing, community health services and voluntary sector services.	
Housing Related Schemes	This covers expenditure on housing and housing-related services other than adaptations; eg: supported housing units.	

Integrated Care Planning and Navigation	Care navigation services help people find their way to appropriate services and support and consequently support self-management. Also, the assistance offered to people in navigating through the complex health and social care systems (across primary care, community and voluntary services and social care) to overcome barriers in accessing the most appropriate care and support. Multi-agency teams typically provide these services which can be online or face to face care navigators for frail elderly, or dementia navigators etc. This includes approaches like Single Point of Access (SPoA) and linking people to community assets. Integrated care planning constitutes a co-ordinated, person centred and proactive case management approach to conduct joint assessments of care needs and develop integrated care plans typically carried out by professionals as part of a multi-disciplinary, multi- agency teams. Note: For Multi-Disciplinary Discharge Teams and the HICM for managing discharges, please select HICM as scheme type and the relevant sub-type. Where the planned unit of care delivery and funding is in the form of Integrated care packages and needs to be expressed in such a manner, please select the appropriate sub-type alongside.	
Intermediate Care Services	Short-term intervention to preserve the independence of people who might otherwise face unnecessarily prolonged hospital stays or avoidable admission to hospital or residential care. The care is person-centred and often delivered by a combination of professional groups. Four service models of intermediate care are: bed-based intermediate care, crisis or rapid response (including falls), home-based intermediate care, and reablement or rehabilitation. Home-based intermediate care is covered in Scheme-A and the other three models are available on the sub-types.	

Personalised Budgeting and	Various person centred approaches to commissioning	
Commissioning	and budgeting.	
Personalised Care at Home	Schemes specifically designed to ensure that a person can continue to live at home, through the provision of health related support at home often complemented with support for home care needs or mental health needs. This could include promoting self- management/expert patient, establishment of 'home ward' for intensive period or to deliver support over the longer term to maintain independence or offer end of life care for people. Intermediate care services provide shorter term support and care interventions as opposed to the ongoing support provided in this scheme type.	
Prevention / Early Intervention	Services or schemes where the population or identified high-risk groups are empowered and activated to live well in the holistic sense thereby helping prevent people from entering the care system in the first place. These are essentially upstream prevention initiatives to promote independence and well being.	
Residential Placements	Residential placements provide accommodation for people with learning or physical disabilities, mental health difficulties or with sight or hearing loss, who need more intensive or specialised support than can be provided at home.	
Other	Where the scheme is not adequately represented by the above scheme types, please outline the objectives and services planned for the scheme in a short description in the comments column.	

^^ Link back up

6. Income and Expenditure actual

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

Income				
				_
		2020-21		
Disabled Facilities Grant	£2,768,450			
mproved Better Care Fund	£16,114,638			
CCG Minimum Fund	£24,733,973			
Vinimum Sub Total	£43,617,	7,061		_
	Planned	Act	ual	
		Do you wish to change your		
CCG Additional Funding	£0	additional actual CCG funding?	No	
		Do you wish to change your		
A Additional Funding	£0	additional actual LA funding?	No	
Additional Sub Total		£0		£0
				-
	Planned 20-21 Actual 20	20-21		
Total BCF Pooled Fund	£43,617,061 £43,617,	7,061		
Please provide any comments				
useful for local context where	there is a			
difference between planned a	nd actual income			
or 2020-21				
Expenditure				
	2020-21			
Plan	£43,617,061			
Do you wish to change your a	ctual BCF expenditure?	No		
			_	
Actual				
	-			

Please provide any comments that may be		
useful for local context where there is a		Y
difference between the planned and actual		
expenditure for 2020-21		

Yes

7. Year-End Feedback

The purpose of this survey is to provide an opportunity for local areas to consider and give feedback on the impact of the BCF. Covid-19 had a significant impact on services and schemes delivered on the ground which may have changed the context. However, national BCF partners would value and appreciate local area feedback to understand views and reflections of the progress and challenges faced during 2020-21 There is a total of 5 questions. These are set out below.

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottingham

# Part 1: Delivery of the Better Care Fund Please use the below form to indicate what extent you agree with the following statements and then detail any further supporting information in the corresponding comment boxes.

Statement:	Response:	Comments: Please detail any further supporting information for each response
1. The overall delivery of the BCF has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality		Partners continue to work closely to delivery programmes and schemes identified within the BCF plan
2. Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2020-21	Agree	The schemes within the BCF Plan have been delivered as planned.
3. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2020-21 had a positive impact on the integration of health and social care in our locality	Agree	Our BCF Plan continues to include schemes that drive integration, particularly Discharge to Assess, which has become a well estabilished joint working between care workers and hospital clinicians to deliver Care Act compliant assessments as part of discharge planning.

Part 2: Successes and Challenges

Please select two Enablers from the SCIE Logic model which you have observed demonstrable success in progressing and two Enablers which you have experienced a relatively greater degree of challenge in progressing.

Please provide a brief description alongside.

4. Outline two key successes observed toward driving the enablers	SCIE Logic Model Enablers, Response	
for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2020-21	category:	Response - Please detail your greatest successes
Success 1	<ol> <li>Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership</li> </ol>	System governance and leadership was enhanced across the system, particularly through the close alignment between all partners in the Local Resilience Forum (LFR) throughout the pandemic. In particular there was a positive approach to home care and care homes with a shared approach supporting the market during COVID, with health and local authority co-chairs providing the system leadership. This has led to a long term joint approach to supporting and managing quality and workforce issues in an integrated way for the home care and care home sectors.
Success 2	<ol> <li>Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users</li> </ol>	As part of the 'proactive interventions programme' work has been undertaken to establish the case for data sharing between Primary Care, Social Care and the Carers Hub provision. Data was used during COVID to identify our most vulnerable people requieing support, and has informed an integrated appraoch to providing support using a preventative apporach going froward. Examples include, the proactive identification of Carers to health from social care and vice versa, with plans in place to send a letter detailing support offered by the Carers Hub to those identified by their GP Practice as undertaking a

5. Outline two key challenges observed toward driving the		
enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2020	SCIE Logic Model Enablers, Response	
21	category:	Response - Please detail your greatest challenges
		We expect to see a significant increase in mental health need as a result of the pandemic, and joint work is now progressing
		to ensure we are able to support people's needs.
Challenge 1	Other	COVID has presented challenges in providing care and support normally delivered face to face or in clinic settings. This
		challenge has driven significant improvements in the use of digital technology to achieve more effective and efficient
		pathways, of note are:

Checklist Complete: Yes Yes Yes

\_\_\_\_

Yes

Challenge 2	9. Joint commissioning of health and social care	COVID 19 placed significant pressures on acute hospital flow and this has led to innovative approaches to discharge pathways. The temporary removal of funding restrictions and new ways of purchasing discharge assessment beds has enabled flexible approaches to the use of wards and beds outside of the acute hospitals and improvement in discharge delays.
-------------	--	--

#### Footnotes:

Question 4 and 5 are should be assigned to one of the following categories:

1. Local contextual factors (e.g. financial health, funding arrangements, demographics, urban vs rural factors)

2. Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership

3. Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users

4. Empowering users to have choice and control through an asset based approach, shared decision making and co-production

5. Integrated workforce: joint approach to training and upskilling of workforce

6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand

7. Joined-up regulatory approach

8. Pooled or aligned resources

9. Joint commissioning of health and social care

Other

Better Care Fund 2020-21 Year-end Template					
8. improved Better Care Fund					
Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:	Nottingham	]			
These questions cover average fees paid by your local authority	(including client contributions/user charges) to external care	e providers for your local authority's eligil	le clients.		
The averages will likely need to be calculated from records of pay	ments paid to social care providers and the number of client	weeks they relate to, unless you already ha	ve suitable management information.		
We are interested ONLY in the average fees actually received by				verages SHOULD EXCLUDE:	
<ul> <li>Any amounts that you usually include in reported fee rates but a</li> <li>Any amounts that are paid from sources other than eligible loca</li> </ul>			•	naving clients	
Any amounts that are paid nom sources other than engible loca	autionty funding and cheft contributions, user charges, i.e.	you should exceede third party top ups, i	ins runded warsing care and run cost	paying circles.	
Respecting these exclusions, the average fees SHOULD INCLUDE	:				
- Client contributions /user charges.			<b>.</b>		
<ul> <li>Fees paid under spot and block contracts, fees paid under a dyn part of a Managed Personal Budget.</li> </ul>	amic purchasing system, payments for travel time in home ca	re, any allowances for external provider st	aff training, fees directly commissione	d by your local authority and fees commissioned	by your local authority as
<ul> <li>Fees that did not change as a result of the additional IBCF alloca</li> </ul>	tion as well as those that did. We are interested in the whole	picture not just fees that were specifical	v increased using additional iBCE fund	ling	
If you only have average fees at a more detailed breakdown level			ave the more detailed categories of 65	i+ residential without dementia, 65+ residential w	vith dementia) <b>please</b>
calculate for each of the three service types an average weighte		egory:			
1. Take the number of clients receiving the service for each detail		CC			
<ol> <li>Divide the number of clients receiving the service for each deta</li> <li>Multiply the resultant proportions from Step 2 by the correspo</li> </ol>		65+ residential with dementia) by the tota	i number of clients receiving the relev-	ant service (e.g. age 65+ residential).	
4. For each service type, sum the resultant detailed category figur	• ·				
Please leave any missing data cells as blank e.g. do not attempt	to enter '0' or 'N/A'.				

1. Please provide the average amount that you paid to external providers for home care, calculated on a consistent basis. (£ per contact hour, following the exclusions as in the	For information - your 2019- 20 fee as reported in Q2 2019- 20 £16.18	enter the Q2 2019-20 value from the previous column	What was your anticipated average fee rate for 2020-21,	What was your actual average fee rate per actual user for 2020-21?**	anticipated 2020-21 rates compared to 2019-20 rates.	actual 2020-21 rates compared to 2019-20 rates.
instructions above) 2. Please provide the average amount that you paid for external provider care homes without nursing for clients aged 65+, calculated on a consistent basis. (£ per client per week, following the exclusions as in the instructions above)	£583.00	£580.20	£580.20	£588.85	0.0%	1.5%
3. Please provide the average amount that you paid for external provider care homes with nursing for clients aged 65+, calculated on a consistent basis. (£ per client per week, following the exclusions in the instructions above)	£621.00	£623.99	£623.99	£639.18	0.0%	2.4%
4. Please provide additional commentary if your 2019-20 fee is different from that reported at Q2 2019-20. Please do not use more than 250 characters.		Our predicted rates for 2019/2 contracted providers.	0 changed based upon the am	ount of hours picked up by lead	d providers who are paid signifi	cantly more than other

Checklist

Complete: Yes Yes Yes

87 characters remaining

5. Please briefly list the covid-19 support measures that have most increased your average fees for 2020-21. Please do not use more than 250 characters.

A number of placements were made into residential care at a higher rate in response to the government directive to empty hospitals. A 5% uplift was awarded for 6 months to recognise increased costs (PPE, staffing). Also incl additional block contract

0 characters remaining

Yes

#### Footnotes:

\* ".." in the column C lookup means that no 2019-20 fee was reported by your council in Q2 2019-20

\*\* For column F, please calculate your fee rate as the expenditure during the year divided by the number of actual client weeks during the year. This will

pick up any support that you have provided in terms of occupancy guarantees.

(Occupancy guarantees should result in a higher rate per actual user.)